

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,050	12/30/2004	Masahiko Kato	20241/0202290-US0	2982
7278 7590 01/31/2007 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			EXAMINER	
			LAMBKIN, DEBORAH C	
NEW TORK, NT 10130-3237			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/520,050	KATO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .				
	Deborah C. Lambkin	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	<i>luly 2005</i> .					
,	·					
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.	or alastian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the option of the specific part of the specific	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 	nts have been received. Its have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	i oi ine cerimea copies not	DEPORAL C LANCEKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/27/05. 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/520,050

Art Unit: 1625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "tosyl" as "organic" and "protecting" groups, does not reasonably provide enablement for any and every group chemical moiety. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

These terms "organic group" and "protecting group" are vague and indefinite they are not adequately supported in the specification. Applicant only gave one example, tosyl. Insufficient guidance and teaching is given so that one of ordinary skill in the art would have to experiment unduly to find out which other groups would work as claimed. There is simply insufficient support for such broad sweeping terms.

Applicant is requested to either limit the definition of these group to tosyl, the only one actually contemplated, or provide evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art would know what these groups are.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,050

Art Unit: 1625

Claim 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms, "organic group" and protecting group" are vague and indefinite.

Applicant failed to adequately define these terms such that one cannot determine their metes and bounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by M. Milun et al (CA 87:22129).

M. Milun et al teach the compound 1H-thieno[3,4]pyrrole, 3,5- dihydro- which reads on the instant compound of claim 1 when R1 and R2 is H.

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,050 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah C. Lambkin whose telephone number is 571-272-0698.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie can be reached at 571-272-0670.

Deborah C. Lambkin **Primary Patent Examiner**

Art Unit 1626